

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 6	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 26-Jul-2002		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W16ROE-2144-1064		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, NEW YORK ATTN: CENAN-CT ROOM 1843 26 FEDERAL PLAZA (DACA51) NEW YORK NY 10278-0090		CODE DACA51		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA51-02-R-0018	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03-Jul-2002	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to incorporate the attached change to the specifications. The bid proposal date remains unchanged, 05 August 2002. NOTE: Bidders must acknowledge receipt of this amendment by the date specified in the solicitation (or as amended) by one of the following methods: in the space provided on the SF1442, by separate letter, or by telegram, or by signing block 15 below. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AMENDMENTS BY THE DATE AND TIME SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR BID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATE BID, LATE MODIFICATIONS OF BIDS OR LATE WITHDRAWAL OF BIDS (FAR14.304). Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 26-Jul-2002	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES**NOTICE TO BIDDERS**

Failure of the bidder to
Acknowledge receipt of
this Amendment in
Item 19 of Standard Form
1442 (Pg. 00010-2) may
result in REJECTION of
the bid.

RFP NO. DACA51-02-R-0018

Amendment No. 3

Department of the Army, NYD
Corps of Engineers
New York, NY 10278-0090

AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO SPECIFICATIONS ISSUED 3 JULY 2002 FOR
**CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION COMMAND FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING, FORT DRUM,
NEW YORK**

TO BIDDER

1. General Note: Questions and Answers discussed at the pre-proposal conference of July 23, 2002 and those questions sent in to the Army Corps of Engineers are included for Information Only, on website <http://www.nan.usace.army.mil>. For CD-ROM Subscribers, it will be on the CD-ROM with this amendment.

2. Make the Following Changes in the Specifications.

SECTION SF1442 SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD, paragraph 11:

Delete "365" and replace with "547"

SECTION 00120 – PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD

Change paragraph 1.2.4 to read as follows:

"1.2.4 Scoring Guidelines. The Evaluation Committee will color score applicable evaluation factors utilizing the banding method that follows:

<u>Color</u>	<u>Rating</u>	<u>Definition</u>
Green	Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements.
Yellow	Marginal	Performance marginally meets contractual requirements, however, the deficiencies found are correctable.
Red	Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner."

Delete paragraph 2.1 and replace with the following:

“No award will be made to an offeror receiving:

- a. An unacceptable (red) rating in any evaluation factor.
- b. An acceptable rating in factor #1, but having more than two marginal (yellow) ratings in any other factors.
- c. A marginal (yellow) rating in factor #1.”

3.This Amendment shall be attached to the specifications and shall be a part thereof.

Encls.

ELLA SNELL
CONTRACTING OFFICER
C, CONTRACTING DIVISION

SECTION 00800 - SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

The following have been modified:

WAGE RATES

Section 00900

Superseded General Decision No. NY010009

State: New York

Construction Type:

BUILDING

County(ies):

JEFFERSON

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include residential construction consisting of single family homes and apartments up to and including 4 stories)

Modification Number Publication Date

0 03/01/2002

1 05/03/2002

2 07/12/2002

COUNTY(ies):

JEFFERSON

BRNY0002H 07/01/2000

	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYERS	21.10	7.27
TILE SETTERS	17.59	7.42
TILE FINISHERS	15.04	6.85

ELEC0910M 04/01/2001

	Rates	Fringes
ELECTRICIANS	22.80	9.83

* SHEE0058A 05/01/2002

	Rates	Fringes
SHEET METAL WORKERS:		
Projects 5 million or less	22.60	11.51
Projects over \$5 million	23.60	11.51

SUNY1003A 05/01/1996

	Rates	Fringes
CARPENTERS	16.81	5.52
CEMENT MASONS	19.75	5.82
LABORERS	14.06	5.36
PLUMBERS	19.24	4.26
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:		
Forklift	20.64	8.70
ROOFERS	16.99	5.47

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.
=====

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(ii)).

In the listing above, the "SU" designation means that rates listed under that identifier do not reflect collectively bargained wage and fringe benefit rates. Other designations indicate unions whose rates have been determined to be prevailing.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U. S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U. S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

END OF GENERAL DECISION



PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

DACA51-02-R-0018

CRIMINIAL INVESTIGATION
DIVISION COMMAND OPERATIONS
BUILDING

FT DRUM, NEW YORK

23 July 02



AGENDA

0900	Introductions (Please Sign-In)
0905	Explanation of COE Design/Build Process
0915	Description of the Project
0930	Submission Requirements/Rating Definitions
0945	Submittal of Questions
1030	Site Visit
1130	Answer Period
1200	Conclusion



RFP Overview

DACA51-02-R-0018 consists of:

- Sections 00100, 00110, 00120, 00600, 00700, 00800 and 00900
- Performance & Prescriptive Specifications and Attachments
- Reference Drawings

Government will evaluate proposals

(RFP Compliance, Cost Proposal, and Technical Proposal)

Timely Submission of Proposal is Mandatory

Hub-Zone Set Aside



Amendments

AM 1:

- Provided time and location of Pre proposal conference
- Section 00010 Bid Schedule – Revises bid schedule

AM 2:

- Section 00800 Special Contract Requirements
– Revises construction duration



Key Dates

- Proposal Due Date: 05 AUGUST 02
- Award: NLT 30 SEPTEMBER 02
- Completion: 547 days after NTP



Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA)

- Process used when best value is expected to result from the selection of the technically accepted proposal with the lowest price.
- Evaluation factors are listed in the solicitation.
- Trade-Offs are not permitted.
- Contract is awarded to the lowest price which is technically acceptable.



Design Build

CONTRACTOR MAY BE:

- Construction contractor with subcontracted AE services
- Joint venture of AE firm and construction contractor
- AE firm with subcontracted construction contractor
- Design build firm with full AE and construction capabilities
- Other combinations providing all services.

Responsibilities

- Design-build contractor responsible for designing to budget or faced with paying the difference from own pocket.
- Government has minimal role in design of project.



Competitive Range

Comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals

Discussions

- Government reserves the right to award the contract without discussions.
- If held, they will be conducted with each offeror within the competitive range.
- If discussions are held, will discuss deficiencies that can be corrected in order to be in compliance with the RFP



Proposal Revisions

- At conclusion of discussions, if held, all offerors still within the competitive range have the opportunity to submit a final revised proposal.
- If discussions are held, final proposal revisions must be in writing and received by the specified date.



Contract

- Contract will be firm fixed price
- Contract consists of:
 1. RFP Requirements
 2. Contractor's Proposal
- Order-of-Precedence:
 1. "Betterments" in Contractor's Proposal
 2. RFP Provisions
 3. Contractor's Proposal
 4. Design Products



Project Overview

- Project includes all work required to design and construct the Criminal Investigation Division Command Operations Building.
- One contract will be awarded.
- Price includes design and construction (target ceiling \$1.83 M).
- Contractor is responsible for the total project, including warranty of the design and the facility.
- The only submittals requiring government “approval” are as stated in the RFP. All other submittals are made for government review for conformance.



Function

To provide operating facilities for the US Army Criminal Investigation Command at Fort Drum.





CIDC OPERATIONS BUILDING

Project Description

- Administration area
- Office space for special agents and team chiefs
- Interview and Observation Rooms
- Polygraph Rooms
- Suspect and Visitor Waiting Area
- Evidence rooms
- Vehicle processing bay
- Storage rooms, mechanical/electrical room





CIDC OPERATIONS BUILDING

Project Description

Additional supporting facilities include utilities, electric service, security lighting, lightning protection, sanitary sewers, storm drainage, information systems, intrusion detection system (IDS), energy monitoring and control system (EMCS), fire detection and alarm system. Heating will be provided from natural gas-fired boilers serving packaged air handling units with glycol air heating coils. Air conditioning will be provided from these same units with direct expansion cooling coil coupled with an air cooled condensing unit located outside the building.



Submission Requirement (Section: 00110)

- **200 pages or less, due 05 August 02 at 1600 hrs**
- **Volume I (Technical Proposal)**
 - 1. Recent Experience in Design and Construction**
 - 2. Proposed Engineering Systems**
 - 3. Past Performance, Design and Construction**
 - **Sub Factor A – Contractor and Designers Past Relationship**
 - 4. Contractors submission matching/organized as outline in RFP**
- **Volume II (Price Proposal), Separate Packet Price Proposal**



Rating Definitions

(Technical Proposals)

- **Satisfactory (Green):** Performance meets contractual requirements.
- **Marginal (Yellow):** Performance marginally meets contractual requirements.
- **Unsatisfactory (Red):** Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner.



Rating Definitions

No award will be made to an Offeror receiving:

- a. an unacceptable(red) rating in any evaluation factor.
- b. an acceptable rating in factor#1 but having more than two marginal(yellow) ratings in the any other factors.



Submitting Questions

- Please provide questions in a written format.
- Answer(s)/Comment(s) to all questions will be provided in the conference minutes, which will be sent to all “parties” who have requested a “bid packet”
- Following site visit, initial response(s) to your questions will be provided, if possible



Questions

- Questions and Answers Are for Information Only



Additional Information

Additional questions should be submitted to

Ms. Myrlande Lee

Phone number 212-264-4863

Fax number 212-264-3013

Email Myrlande.lee@nan02.usace.army.mil

Deadline for questions is
25 July 02.



Site
Visit

DID YOU SIGN-IN ?!



Conference Sign-in Sheet

Date: 23 June 02

Time: 0900

Location: Bldg 2507

Project Title	Criminal Investigation Division Command Operations Building
Contract Number	DACA51-02-R-0018
Subject	Pre-Proposal Conference

PLEASE PRINT

[illegible]

DACA51-02-R-0018

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION COMMAND OPERATIONS BUILDING

COMPANY NAME: BDA
EMPLOYEE NAME: H. GARNSET

QUESTION:

SECTION 00110 1.1 STATES SOLICITATION IS
"BEST VALUE"
SECTION 00120 2.0 STATES AWARD BASIS IS
"ACCEPTABLE RATING" AND "LOWEST PRICE", THIS
IMPLIES LPTA (LOW PRICE TECHNICALLY ACCEPTABLE)
PLEASE CLARIFY SELECTION BASIS AND IF BETTERMENTS
IN PROPOSALS WILL BE CONSIDERED

CONTRACT SECTION REFERENCE

REPLY:

IAW FAR 15.101 BEST VALUE PROCESS CONTINUUM
INCLUDES TRADEOFF PROCESS - FAR 15.101-1, AND LPTA FAR 15.101-2
FOR LPTA SELECTION PROCESS TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY IS DETERMINED,
FALLING WITHIN THE COMPETITIVE RANGE. THEN LOWEST PRICE WOULD BE
THE FINAL DETERMINING FACTOR.

BETTERMENTS ARE ALWAYS CONSIDERED IN A BEST VALUE SELECTION PROCESS,
HOWEVER, IN AN LPTA SELECTION SCENARIO, GOVERNMENT IS NOT
PERMITTED TO PAY ANY ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ABOVE THE LOWEST
PRICE, CONVERSELY ALLOWED UNDER A TRADEOFF SCENARIO.